

## JORDAN $k$ -DERIVATIONS OF CERTAIN NOBUSAWA GAMMA RINGS

Sujoy Chakraborty<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet-3114, Bangladesh  
E-mail: sujoy\_chbty@yahoo.com

and

Akhil Chandra Paul  
Department of Mathematic  
Rajshahi University, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh  
E-mail: acpaulru\_math@yahoo.com

Received 25.11.2010

Accepted 16.7.2011

### ABSTRACT

From the very definition, it follows that every Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a gamma ring  $M$  is, in general, not a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ . In this article, we establish its generalization by considering  $M$  as a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring (Nobusawa gamma ring). We also show that every Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free completely semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring is a  $k$ -derivation of the same.

### 1. Introduction

For the sake of completeness of the study, we begin with the following introductory definitions and examples.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be additive abelian groups. If there exists a mapping  $(a, \alpha, b) \rightarrow a\alpha b$  of  $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$  such that the conditions

$$(a) (a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c, a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b, a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c,$$

$$\text{and } (b) (a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$$

are satisfied for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $M$  is said to be a gamma ring in the sense of Barnes[1], or simply, a gamma ring (symbolically,  $\Gamma$ -ring).

**Example 1.1** If  $R$  is an ordinary associative ring,  $U$  is any ideal of  $R$ , and  $I$  is the ring of integers, then  $R$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring with  $\Gamma = R$  or,  $\Gamma = U$  or,  $\Gamma = I$ . Also,  $U$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring with  $\Gamma = R$ .

**Definition 1.2** In addition to all the assumptions and conditions in the definition of a  $\Gamma$ -ring given above, if there is another mapping  $(\alpha, a, \beta) \rightarrow \alpha a \beta$  of  $\Gamma \times M \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  such that the properties

$$(a^*) (\alpha + \beta)a\gamma = \alpha a\gamma + \beta a\gamma, \alpha(a + b)\beta = \alpha a\beta + \alpha b\beta, \alpha a(\beta + \gamma) = \alpha a\beta + \alpha a\gamma,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

$$(b^*) (\alpha\alpha b)\beta c = a(\alpha b\beta)c = a\alpha(b\beta c), \text{ and}$$

$$(c^*) \alpha\alpha b = 0 \text{ implies } \alpha = 0$$

hold for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $M$  is called a gamma ring in the sense of Nobusawa[9], or simply, a Nobusawa  $\Gamma$ -ring (symbolically,  $\Gamma_N$ -ring).

**Example 1.2** If  $R$  is an ordinary associative ring with the unity 1, then  $R$  is a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring with  $\Gamma=R$ .

The notions of derivation and Jordan derivation of a  $\Gamma$ -ring have been introduced by M. Sapanci and A. Nakajima [10] as follows.

**Definition 1.3** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring, and let  $d : M \rightarrow M$  be an additive mapping such that

$$d(\alpha\alpha b) = d(a)\alpha b + \alpha\alpha d(b)$$

is satisfied for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ; then  $d$  is called a derivation of  $M$ .

**Definition 1.4** For a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , if  $d : M \rightarrow M$  is an additive mapping such that

$$d(\alpha\alpha a) = d(a)\alpha a + \alpha\alpha d(a)$$

holds for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $d$  is said to be a Jordan derivation of  $M$ .

In accordance with the notion of derivation of a  $\Gamma$ -ring mentioned as above, H. Kandamar [8] has introduced the concept of  $k$ -derivation of a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring as follows.

**Definition 1.5** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring, and let  $d : M \rightarrow M$  and  $k : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be additive mappings. If

$$d(\alpha\alpha b) = d(a)\alpha b + ak(\alpha)b + \alpha\alpha d(b)$$

is satisfied for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $d$  is called a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ .

**Example 1.3** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring, and let  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  be any two fixed elements. Define the additive mappings  $d : M \rightarrow M$  and  $k : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  by  $d(x) = \alpha\alpha x$  (for all  $x \in M$ ) and  $k(\beta) = \beta\alpha\alpha$  (for all  $\beta \in \Gamma$ ), respectively. Then  $d$  is a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ , for

$$\begin{aligned} d(x\beta y) &= \alpha\alpha(x\beta y) = \alpha\alpha x\beta y - x\beta\alpha\alpha y + x\beta\alpha\alpha y \\ &= (\alpha\alpha x)\beta y - x(\beta\alpha\alpha)y + x\beta(\alpha\alpha y) = d(x)\beta y + xk(\beta)y + x\beta d(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now we introduce the concept of Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring using the notion of  $k$ -derivation of a  $\Gamma$ -ring due to H. Kandamar [8] as bellow.

**Definition 1.6** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring, and let  $d : M \rightarrow M$  and  $k : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be additive mappings. Then  $d$  is said to be a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of  $M$  if

$$d(\alpha\alpha a) = d(a)\alpha a + ak(\alpha)a + \alpha\alpha d(a)$$

holds for all  $a \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Example 1.4** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring, and let  $d$  be a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ . Consider  $M_1 = \{(x, x) : x \in M\}$  and  $\Gamma_1 = \{(\alpha, \alpha) : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ . Let the operations of addition and multiplication on  $M_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  be defined by

$$(x_1, x_1) + (x_2, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2), (x_1, x_1)(\alpha, \alpha)(x_2, x_2) = (x_1\alpha x_2, x_1\alpha x_2) \text{ and} \\ (\alpha_1, \alpha_1) + (\alpha_2, \alpha_2) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2), (\alpha_1, \alpha_1)(x, x)(\alpha_2, \alpha_2) = (\alpha_1 x \alpha_2, \alpha_1 x \alpha_2)$$

for every  $x, x_1, x_2 \in M$  and  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Gamma$ , respectively. Then  $M_1$  is clearly a Nobusawa  $\Gamma_1$ -ring under these operations. Let  $d_1 : M_1 \rightarrow M_1$  and  $k_1 : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$  be the additive mappings defined by

$$d_1(x, x) = (d(x), d(x)) \text{ and } k_1(\alpha, \alpha) = (k(\alpha), k(\alpha))$$

for all  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , respectively. If we say  $(x, x) = a \in M$  and  $(\alpha, \alpha) = \gamma \in \Gamma$  for any  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then we have

$$d_1(a\gamma a) = d_1((x, x)(\alpha, \alpha)(x, x)) = (d(x\alpha x), d(x\alpha x)) \\ = (d(x)\alpha x, d(x)\alpha x) + (xk(\alpha)x, xk(\alpha)x) + (x\alpha d(x), x\alpha d(x)) \\ = d_1(a)\gamma a + ak_1(\gamma)a + a\gamma d_1(a)$$

Hence, it follows that  $d_1$  is a Jordan  $k_1$ -derivation of  $M$ . Obviously,  $d_1$  is not a  $k_1$ -derivation of  $M$ .

Considering  $M$  as a  $\Gamma$ -ring (**until any further notice is mentioned hereafter in this section**), we recall some important definitions needful for us as follows:

**Definition 1.7** An additive subgroup  $U$  of  $M$  is called a left (resp., right) ideal of  $M$  if  $M\Gamma U \subset U$  (resp.,  $U\Gamma M \subset U$ ).  $U$  is called a two-sided ideal, or simply, an ideal of  $M$  if  $U$  is a left as well as a right ideal of  $M$  (that is, if both  $m\gamma u \in U$  and  $u\gamma m \in U$  for all  $m \in M$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $u \in U$ ).

**Definition 1.8**  $M$  is said to be a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring if  $2a = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  for all  $a \in M$ . Besides,  $M$  is called a commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring if  $x\gamma y = y\gamma x$  holds for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . The set  $Z(M) = \{c \in M : c\alpha m = m\alpha c \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } m \in M\}$  is known as the center of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ .

**Definition 1.9** If  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $[a, b]_\alpha = a\alpha b - b\alpha a$  is called the commutator of  $a$  and  $b$  with respect to  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 1.1** If  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring, then, for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ :

- (i)  $[a, b]_\alpha + [b, a]_\alpha = 0$ ; (ii)  $[a + b, c]_\alpha = [a, c]_\alpha + [b, c]_\alpha$ ;
- (iii)  $[a, b + c]_\alpha = [a, b]_\alpha + [a, c]_\alpha$ ; (iv)  $[a, b]_{\alpha+\beta} = [a, b]_\alpha + [a, b]_\beta$ .

**Remark 1.1** A necessary and sufficient condition for a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  to be commutative is that  $[a,b]_\alpha = 0$  for all  $a,b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 1.10** An element  $x \in M$  is called a nilpotent element if (for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ), there exists a positive integer  $n$  (depending on  $\gamma$ ) such that  $(x\gamma)^n x = (x\gamma)(x\gamma)\dots(x\gamma)x = 0$ . Besides, an ideal  $U$  of  $M$  is said to be a nil ideal if each element of  $U$  is nilpotent. Moreover, an ideal  $I$  of  $M$  is called a nilpotent ideal if there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $(I\Gamma)^n I = (I\Gamma)(I\Gamma)\dots(I\Gamma)I = 0$ .

**Remark 1.2** Every nilpotent ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring is nil.

**Definition 1.11** (i)  $M$  is called prime if  $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = 0$  (with  $a,b \in M$ ) implies  $a=0$  or  $b=0$ ; (ii)  $M$  is said to be completely prime if  $a\Gamma b = 0$  (with  $a,b \in M$ ) implies  $a=0$  or  $b=0$ ; (iii)  $M$  is called semiprime if  $a\Gamma M\Gamma a = 0$  (with  $a \in M$ ) implies  $a=0$ ; (iv)  $M$  is said to be completely semiprime if  $a\Gamma a = 0$  (with  $a \in M$ ) implies  $a=0$ .

**Remark 1.3** Every prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is semiprime, and also, every completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is completely semiprime.

## 2. Some Consequences

We now state some useful results without their proofs, because all of these results (in this section) have already been proved in our papers [4] and [5].

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring and let  $d$  be a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ . Then for all  $a,b,c \in M$  and  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$ , the following statements hold:

- (i)  $d(a\alpha b + b\alpha a) = d(a)\alpha b + d(b)\alpha a + ak(\alpha)b + bk(\alpha)a + a\alpha d(b) + b\alpha d(a)$ ;
- (ii)  $d(a\alpha b\beta a + a\beta b\alpha a) = d(a)\alpha b\beta a + d(a)\beta b\alpha a + ak(\alpha)b\beta a + ak(\beta)b\alpha a + a\alpha d(b)\beta a + a\beta d(b)\alpha a + a\alpha bk(\beta)a + a\beta bk(\alpha)a + a\alpha b\beta d(a) + a\beta b\alpha d(a)$ .

In particular, if  $M$  is 2-torsion free, then

- (iii)  $d(a\alpha b\alpha a) = d(a)\alpha b\alpha a + ak(\alpha)b\alpha a + a\alpha d(b)\alpha a + a\alpha bk(\alpha)a + a\alpha b\alpha d(a)$ ;
- (iv)  $d(a\alpha b\alpha c + c\alpha b\alpha a) = d(a)\alpha b\alpha c + d(c)\alpha b\alpha a + ak(\alpha)b\alpha c + ck(\alpha)b\alpha a + a\alpha d(b)\alpha c + c\alpha d(b)\alpha a + a\alpha bk(\alpha)c + c\alpha bk(\alpha)a + a\alpha b\alpha d(c) + c\alpha b\alpha d(a)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $d$  be a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ . Then, for all  $b \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ ,  $k(\beta b\beta) = k(\beta)b\beta + \beta d(b)\beta + \beta bk(\beta)$ .

**Lemma 2.3** If  $d$  is a Jordan  $k_1$ -derivation as well as a Jordan  $k_2$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ , then  $k_1 = k_2$ .

**Remark 2.1**  $k$  is uniquely determined if  $d$  is a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma_N$ -ring.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $d$  be a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ . If  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then we define  $F_\alpha(a, b) = d(\alpha ab) - d(a)\alpha b - \alpha k(\alpha)b - \alpha \alpha d(b)$ .

**Lemma 2.4** If  $d$  is a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ , then for all  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,

- (i)  $F_\alpha(a, b) + F_\alpha(b, a) = 0$ ; (ii)  $F_\alpha(a + b, c) = F_\alpha(a, c) + F_\alpha(b, c)$ ;  
 (iii)  $F_\alpha(a, b + c) = F_\alpha(a, b) + F_\alpha(a, c)$ ; (iv)  $F_{\alpha+\beta}(a, b) = F_\alpha(a, b) + F_\beta(a, b)$ .

**Remark 2.2**  $d$  is a  $k$ -derivation of a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$  if and only if  $F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

### 3. Jordan $k$ -Derivations of Semiprime $\Gamma_N$ -Rings

In classical ring theory, I. N. Herstein [7] has shown that every Jordan derivation of a 2-torsion free prime ring is a derivation of the same. The similar result for 2-torsion free semiprime rings has been proved by M. Bresar [2]. Here we extend this result for a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring to show that every Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$  is a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $M$  be a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then  $M$  contains no nonzero nilpotent ideal.

**Proof.** Let  $I$  be a nilpotent ideal of  $M$ . Then  $(\Pi\Gamma)^n I = 0$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Let us assume that  $n$  is minimum. Now suppose that  $n > 1$ . Since  $\Pi\Gamma M \subset I$ , we then have

$$(\Pi\Gamma)^{n-1} \Pi\Gamma M (\Pi\Gamma)^{n-1} I \subset (\Pi\Gamma)^{n-1} \Pi\Gamma (\Pi\Gamma)^{n-1} I = (\Pi\Gamma)^n \Pi\Gamma (\Pi\Gamma)^{n-2} I = 0.$$

Hence, by the semiprimeness of  $M$ , we get  $(\Pi\Gamma)^{n-1} I = 0$ , a contradiction to the minimality of  $n$ . Therefore,  $n = 1$ . Thus,  $\Pi\Gamma I = 0$ . Then  $\Pi\Gamma M \Gamma I \subset \Pi\Gamma I = 0$ . Since  $M$  is semiprime, it gives  $I = 0$ .

But, since every prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is semiprime, we have:

**Corollary 3.1** Every prime  $\Gamma$ -ring has no nonzero nilpotent ideal.

Again, since every nilpotent ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring is nil, it follows that

**Corollary 3.2** Semiprime (and also, prime)  $\Gamma$ -rings have no nonzero nilpotent element.

**Lemma 3.2** The center of a semiprime (or, prime)  $\Gamma$ -ring does not contain any nonzero nilpotent element.

**Proof.** Let  $Z$  be the center of a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . Then  $Z$  is a subring of  $M$  (as we know). Thus, since  $M$  is a semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $Z$  is so. Hence, by Corollary 3.2,  $Z$  has no nonzero nilpotent element. A similar reason proves the claim for a prime  $\Gamma$ -ring.

**Lemma 3.3** Let  $d$  be a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ . Then

- (i)  $F_\alpha(a,b)\alpha m\alpha[a,b]_\alpha + [a,b]_\alpha\alpha m\alpha F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$  and  
(ii)  $F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[a,b]_\alpha + [a,b]_\alpha\beta m\beta F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$   
for all  $a,b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** We have proved this lemma in our paper [4].

**Lemma 3.4** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring. If  $a,b,m \in M$  and  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $a\alpha m\beta b + b\alpha m\beta a = 0$ , then  $a\alpha m\beta b = b\alpha m\beta a = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma,\delta \in \Gamma$  be arbitrary elements. By using  $a\alpha m\beta b = -b\alpha m\beta a$  (where  $a,b,m \in M$  and  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$ ) repeatedly, we get

$$(a\alpha m\beta b)\gamma x\delta(a\alpha m\beta b) = -(a\alpha m\beta b)\gamma x\delta(a\alpha m\beta b).$$

This implies,  $2((a\alpha m\beta b)\gamma x\delta(a\alpha m\beta b)) = 0$ .

Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free, it gives  $(a\alpha m\beta b)\gamma x\delta(a\alpha m\beta b) = 0$ ;

that is, we have  $(a\alpha m\beta b)\Gamma M\Gamma(a\alpha m\beta b) = 0$ .

But, since  $M$  is semiprime, we obtain  $a\alpha m\beta b = 0$ . Hence,  $a\alpha m\beta b = b\alpha m\beta a = 0$ .

**Corollary 3.3** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring. Then, for all  $a,b,m \in M$  and  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$ ,

- (i)  $F_\alpha(a,b)\alpha m\alpha[a,b]_\alpha = 0$ ; (ii)  $[a,b]_\alpha\alpha m\alpha F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$ ;  
(iii)  $F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[a,b]_\alpha = 0$ ; (iv)  $[a,b]_\alpha\beta m\beta F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Applying Lemma 3.4 in Lemma 3.3, we obtain the required results.

**Lemma 3.5** Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring. Then, for all  $a,b,u,v,m \in M$  and  $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$ ,

- (i)  $F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,v]_\alpha = 0$ ; (ii)  $[u,v]_\alpha\beta m\beta F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$ ;  
(iii)  $F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,v]_\gamma = 0$ ; (iv)  $[u,v]_\gamma\beta m\beta F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$ .

**Proof.** (i) Replacing  $a + u$  for  $a$  in Corollary 3.3(iii), we obtain

$$F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,b]_\alpha = -F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[a,b]_\alpha.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,b]_\alpha)\beta m\beta(F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,b]_\alpha) \\ &= -F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,b]_\alpha\beta m\beta F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,b]_\alpha = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the semiprimeness of  $M$ , we get  $F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,b]_\alpha = 0$ . Similarly, by replacing  $b + v$  for  $b$  in this equality, we obtain  $F_\alpha(a,b)\beta m\beta[u,v]_\alpha = 0$ .

(ii) Proceeding in the same way as above by the similar replacements successively in Corollary 3.3(iv), we obtain  $[u, v]_\alpha \beta m \beta F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$  for all  $a, b, u, v, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

(iii) Putting  $\alpha + \gamma$  for  $\alpha$  in (i), we get  $F_{\alpha + \gamma}(a, b) \beta m \beta [u, v]_\gamma = -F_\gamma(a, b) \beta m \beta [u, v]_\alpha$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_\alpha(a, b) \beta m \beta [u, v]_\gamma) \beta m \beta (F_\alpha(a, b) \beta m \beta [u, v]_\gamma) \\ &= -F_\alpha(a, b) \beta m \beta [u, v]_\gamma \beta m \beta F_\gamma(a, b) \beta m \beta [u, v]_\alpha = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the semiprimeness of  $M$ , we have  $F_\alpha(a, b) \beta m \beta [u, v]_\gamma = 0$ .

(iv) As in the proof of (iii), the similar replacement in (ii) produces (iv).

**Theorem 3.1** Every Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$  is a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ .

**Proof.** Let  $d$  be a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ . Let  $a, b, u, v, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Then, by Lemma 3.5(iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta \beta m \beta [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta \\ &= (F_\alpha(a, b) \beta v - v \beta F_\alpha(a, b)) \beta m \beta [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta \\ &= F_\alpha(a, b) \beta v \beta m \beta [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta - v \beta F_\alpha(a, b) \beta m \beta [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $v \beta m \in M$  and  $F_\alpha(a, b) \in M$  for all  $a, b, v, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Therefore, we get  $[F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta = 0$  (by the semiprimeness of  $M$ ). But since  $F_\alpha(a, b) \in M$  (for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ), it follows that  $F_\alpha(a, b) \in Z(M)$ .

Now let  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ . By Lemma 3.5(ii),  $F_\alpha(a, b) \gamma [u, v]_\alpha \delta m \delta F_\alpha(a, b) \gamma [u, v]_\alpha = 0$ . But, since  $M$  is semiprime, we get

$$F_\alpha(a, b) \gamma [u, v]_\alpha = 0. \quad (1)$$

Also, by Lemma 3.5(i), we have  $[u, v]_\alpha \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) \delta m \delta [u, v]_\alpha \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ , and hence, the semiprimeness of  $M$  implies that

$$[u, v]_\alpha \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, by Lemma 3.5(iv), we get  $F_\alpha(a, b) \gamma [u, v]_\beta \delta m \delta F_\alpha(a, b) \gamma [u, v]_\beta = 0$ . Since  $M$  is semiprime, it follows that

$$F_\alpha(a, b) \gamma [u, v]_\beta = 0. \quad (3)$$

Again, by Lemma 3.5(iii), we have  $[u, v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) \delta m \delta [u, v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ , and therefore, by the semiprimeness of  $M$ , we obtain

$$[u, v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma F_\alpha(a,b) &= F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma(F_\alpha(a,b) + F_\alpha(a,b)) = F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma(F_\alpha(a,b) - F_\alpha(a,b)) \\ &= F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma d([a,b]_\alpha) - F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma[d(a),b]_\alpha - F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma[a,d(b)]_\alpha - F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma[a,b]_{k(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d(a), d(b) \in M$  and  $k(\alpha) \in \Gamma$ , by using (1) and (3), we get

$$F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma[d(a),b]_\alpha = F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma[a,d(b)]_\alpha = F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma[a,b]_{k(\alpha)} = 0,$$

and therefore,

$$2F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma F_\alpha(a,b) = F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma d([a,b]_\alpha). \quad (5)$$

By the operation (3) + (4), we obtain

$$F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma[u,v]_\beta + [u,v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a,b) = 0.$$

Then Lemma 2.1(i), equation (3) and  $F_\alpha(a,b) \in Z(M)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d(F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma[u,v]_\beta + [u,v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a,b)) \\ &= d(F_\alpha(a,b))\gamma[u,v]_\beta + d([u,v]_\beta)\gamma F_\alpha(a,b) + F_\alpha(a,b)k(\gamma)[u,v]_\beta \\ &\quad + [u,v]_\beta k(\gamma)F_\alpha(a,b) + F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma d([u,v]_\beta) + [u,v]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a,b)) \\ &= d(F_\alpha(a,b))\gamma[u,v]_\beta + 2F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma d([u,v]_\beta) + [u,v]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a,b)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$2F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma d([u,v]_\beta) = -d(F_\alpha(a,b))\gamma[u,v]_\beta - [u,v]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a,b)). \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6), we then have

$$\begin{aligned} 4F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma F_\alpha(a,b) &= 2F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma d([a,b]_\alpha) \\ &= -d(F_\alpha(a,b))\gamma[a,b]_\beta - [a,b]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a,b)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma F_\alpha(a,b) \\ = -d(F_\alpha(a,b))\gamma[a,b]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a,b) - [a,b]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a,b))\gamma F_\alpha(a,b). \end{aligned}$$

Here, it follows that  $d(F_\alpha(a,b))\gamma[a,b]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$ , since  $[a,b]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$  (by (4)); and also,  $[a,b]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a,b))\gamma F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$  (by Lemma 3.5(iv)), since  $d(F_\alpha(a,b)) \in M$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Therefore, we obtain  $4F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$ . That is, we have  $4(F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma)^2 F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$ . So,  $(F_\alpha(a,b)\gamma)^2 F_\alpha(a,b) = 0$  (since  $M$  is 2-torsion free). Thus,  $F_\alpha(a,b)$  is a nilpotent element of the  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ . But, we know that the center of a

semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring does not contain any nonzero nilpotent element (by Lemma 3.2). Hence,  $F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . It means,  $d$  is then a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ .

#### 4. Jordan $k$ -Derivations of Completely Semiprime $\Gamma_N$ -Rings

In sequel to the last result, we now prove it analogously in case of a 2-torsion free completely semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring. To reach our goal in this section, we develop some useful results in the following way.

**Lemma 4.1** *A completely semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring has no nonzero nilpotent ideal.*

**Proof.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $M$  such that  $(\Pi)^n I = 0$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Assume that  $n$  is minimum and that  $n > 1$ . Then  $(\Pi)^{n-1} \Pi (\Pi)^{n-1} I = (\Pi)^n \Pi (\Pi)^{n-2} I = 0$ . Since  $M$  is completely semiprime, we get  $(\Pi)^{n-1} I = 0$ , which is a contradiction to the minimality of  $n$ . Hence, we conclude that  $n = 1$ . Thus, we obtain  $\Pi I = 0$ . So, the completely semiprimeness of  $M$  implies that  $I = 0$ .

But, since every completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring is completely semiprime, we have:

**Corollary 4.1** *A completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring has no nonzero nilpotent ideal.*

Again, since every nilpotent ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring is nil, it follows that

**Corollary 4.2** *Completely semiprime (and also, completely prime)  $\Gamma$ -rings have no nonzero nilpotent element.*

**Lemma 4.2** *The center of a completely semiprime (or, completely prime)  $\Gamma$ -ring does not contain any nonzero nilpotent element.*

**Proof.** If  $Z$  is the center of a completely semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , then we know that  $Z$  is a subring of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is completely semiprime,  $Z$  is also a completely semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring. So, by Corollary 4.2,  $Z$  has no nonzero nilpotent element. It also proves the claim for a completely prime  $\Gamma$ -ring similarly.

**Lemma 4.3** *Let  $d$  be a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ , and suppose that  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then  $F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[a, b]_\alpha + [a, b]_\alpha \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** This result is proved in our paper [5].

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free completely semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring, and suppose  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $a\gamma b + b\gamma a = 0$ . Then  $a\gamma b = b\gamma a = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\delta$  is an arbitrary element of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $a\gamma b + b\gamma a = 0$ . Hence, by using  $a\gamma b = -b\gamma a$  repeatedly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (a\gamma b)\delta(a\gamma b) &= -(b\gamma a)\delta(a\gamma b) = -(b(\gamma a\delta)a)\gamma b = (a(\gamma a\delta)b)\gamma b \\ &= a\gamma(a\delta b)\gamma b = -a\gamma(b\delta a)\gamma b = -(a\gamma b)\delta(a\gamma b) \end{aligned}$$

This implies,  $2((\alpha\gamma b)\delta(\alpha\gamma b))=0$ . Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free, we have  $(\alpha\gamma b)\delta(\alpha\gamma b)=0$ ; that is,  $(\alpha\gamma b)\Gamma(\alpha\gamma b)=0$ . By the completely semiprimeness of  $M$ , we get  $\alpha\gamma b=0$ . Hence,  $\alpha\gamma b=b\gamma a=0$ .

**Corollary 4.3** *Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free completely semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring. Then, for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ ,*

$$(i) F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[a, b]_\alpha = 0; (ii) [a, b]_\alpha \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0.$$

**Proof.** By applying Lemma 4.4 in the result of Lemma 4.3, we obtain this corollary.

**Lemma 4.5** *Let  $M$  be a 2-torsion free completely semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring. Then, for all  $a, b, u, v, m \in M$  and  $\alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma$ ,*

$$(i) F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\alpha = 0; (ii) [u, v]_\alpha \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0;$$

$$(iii) F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\beta = 0; (iv) [u, v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0.$$

**Proof. (i)** Replacing  $a + u$  for  $a$  in Corollary 4.3(i), we get

$$F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, b]_\alpha = -F_\alpha(u, b)\gamma[a, b]_\alpha.$$

Hence, we have  $F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, b]_\alpha \gamma F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, b]_\alpha = 0$ . By the completely semiprimeness of  $M$ , we obtain  $F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, b]_\alpha = 0$ . Similarly, by replacing  $b + v$  for  $b$  in this equality obtained, we get  $F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\alpha = 0$ .

**(ii)** The similar replacements (as above) in Corollary 4.3(ii) yields  $[u, v]_\alpha \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ .

**(iii)** Putting  $\alpha + \beta$  for  $\alpha$  in (i), we get  $F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\beta = -F_\beta(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\alpha$  which then implies that  $F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\beta = 0$ . But, since  $M$  is completely semiprime, we obtain  $F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\beta = 0$ .

**(iv)** By performing the similar replacement in (ii) [as in the proof of (iii)], we easily get this required result.

**Theorem 4.1** *If  $d$  is a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free completely semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ , then  $d$  is also a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $d$  be a Jordan  $k$ -derivation of a 2-torsion free completely semiprime  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ , and suppose that  $a, b, v \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then, by using Lemma 4.5(iii), we have

$$[F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta \gamma [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta = (F_\alpha(a, b)\beta v - v\beta F_\alpha(a, b))\gamma [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta$$

$$= F_\alpha(a, b)\beta v\gamma [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta - v\beta F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma [F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta = 0,$$

since  $\beta v\gamma \in M$  and  $F_\alpha(a, b) \in M$  for all  $a, b, v \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . So,  $[F_\alpha(a, b), v]_\beta = 0$  (since  $M$  is completely semiprime), where  $F_\alpha(a, b) \in M$  for all  $a, b, v \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Therefore, we get  $F_\alpha(a, b) \in Z(M)$ .

Now, from Lemma 4.5(iii), we have

$$F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\beta = 0. \quad (7)$$

And, from Lemma 4.5(iv), we get

$$[u, v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma F_\alpha(a, b) &= F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma(F_\alpha(a, b) - F_\alpha(a, b)) \\ &= F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma d([a, b]_\alpha) - F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[d(a), b]_\alpha - F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[a, d(b)]_\alpha - F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[a, b]_{k(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d(a), d(b) \in M$  and  $k(\alpha) \in \Gamma$ , by using Lemma 4.5(i) and (7), we get

$$F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[d(a), b]_\alpha = F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[a, d(b)]_\alpha = F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[a, b]_{k(\alpha)} = 0,$$

and so, we have

$$2F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma d([a, b]_\alpha). \quad (9)$$

By the operation (7) + (8), we get  $F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\beta + [u, v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1(i) with the use of (7), and since  $F_\alpha(a, b) \in Z(M)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d(F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma[u, v]_\beta + [u, v]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b)) \\ &= d(F_\alpha(a, b))\gamma[u, v]_\beta + 2F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma d([u, v]_\beta) + [u, v]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$2F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma d([u, v]_\beta) = -d(F_\alpha(a, b))\gamma[u, v]_\beta - [u, v]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a, b)). \quad (10)$$

Then, from (9) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma F_\alpha(a, b) &= 2F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma d([a, b]_\alpha) \\ &= -d(F_\alpha(a, b))\gamma[a, b]_\beta - [a, b]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma F_\alpha(a, b) \\ &= -d(F_\alpha(a, b))\gamma[a, b]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) - [a, b]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a, b))\gamma F_\alpha(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (8), we get  $d(F_\alpha(a, b))\gamma[a, b]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$  (since  $[a, b]_\beta \gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ ); and by Lemma 4.5(iv), we obtain  $[a, b]_\beta \gamma d(F_\alpha(a, b))\gamma F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$  (since  $d(F_\alpha(a, b)) \in M$ ). Thus,  $4(F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma)^2 F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ . Since  $M$  is 2-torsion free, it gives  $(F_\alpha(a, b)\gamma)^2 F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$ .

So,  $F_\alpha(a, b)$  is a nilpotent element of the  $\Gamma_N$ -ring  $M$ , where  $F_\alpha(a, b) \in Z(M)$ . Hence, by Lemma 4.2, we get  $F_\alpha(a, b) = 0$  (for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ) from which we conclude that  $d$  is a  $k$ -derivation of  $M$ .

## REFERENCES

1. Barnes W. E., On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa, *Pacific J. Math.* **18** (1966), 411–422.
2. Bresar M., Jordan Derivations on Semiprime Rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 1003–1006.
3. Ceven Y. and Ozturk M. A., On Jordan Generalized Derivations in Gamma Rings, *Hacettepe J. Math. and Stat.* **33** (2004), 11–14.
4. Chakraborty S. and Paul A. C., On Jordan  $k$ -Derivations of 2-Torsion Free Prime  $\Gamma_N$ -rings, *Punjab Univ. J. Math.* **40** (2008), 97–101.
5. Chakraborty S. and Paul A. C., Jordan  $k$ -Derivations of Completely Prime  $\Gamma_N$ -rings, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **35**(1) (2011), 29-34.
6. Herstein I. N., Topics in Ring Theory, *University of Chicago Press*, Chicago, 1969.
7. Herstein I. N., Jordan Derivations on Prime Rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 1104–1110.
8. Kandamar H., The  $k$ -derivation of a Gamma Ring, *Turk. J. Math.* **24** (2000), 221–231.
9. Nobusawa N., On a Generalization of the Ring Theory, *Osaka J. Math.* **1** (1964), 81–89.
10. Sapançi M. and Nakajima A., Jordan Derivations on Completely Prime Gamma Rings, *Math. Japonica* **46**(1) (1997), 47–51.