

## ENDOMORPHISM RINGS ARE CENTRALIZER NEAR-RINGS

Md. Rezaul Islam<sup>1</sup> and Satrajit Kumar Saha<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dhaka Cantonment Girls' Public School and College, Dhaka.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka.

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: rezaadhimoni@gmail.com

Received 05.05.2014

Accepted 06.01.2015

### ABSTRACT

For a finite ring  $R$  with identity and a finite unital  $R$ -module  $V$  the set  $C(R; V) = \{f: V \rightarrow V: f(\alpha v) = \alpha f(v) \text{ for all } \alpha \in R, v \in V\}$  is the centralizer near-ring determined by  $R$  and  $V$ . Rings  $R$  for which  $C(R; V)$  is a ring for every  $R$ -module  $V$ , are characterized. Conditions are given under which  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple centralizer near ring. It is shown that  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple centralizer near ring then  $\text{End}_R(V) = C(R; V)$ .

**Keywords:** Centralizer Near-ring, Semisimple Centralizer Near-ring,  $C(R, V)$  invariant

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $G$  be a group and  $T$  a semigroup of endomorphisms of  $G$ . Then

$C(T; G) = \{f: G \rightarrow G: f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f(xa) = f(a) \text{ for all } x \in T, a \in G\}$  is a near-ring under the operations of addition and composition of functions, and is called the centralizer near-ring determined by  $T$  and  $G$ . It has been shown by Betsch [1] that  $N$  is a finite simple near-ring with identity if and only if there exists a finite group  $G$  and a fixed point free group of automorphism  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $N \cong C(T; G)$ . The structure of  $C(T; G)$  for various  $G$  and  $T$  has been investigated by Maxson and Smith [3], [4], [5].

Throughout this paper  $R$  will denote a finite ring with 1 and  $V$  a finite unital  $R$ -module. The corresponding centralizer near-ring is  $C(R; V) = \{f: V \rightarrow V: f(rv) = rf(v) \text{ for all } r \in R, v \in V\}$ . In dealing with  $C(R; V)$  we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $V$  is a faithful  $R$ -module, because  $V$  is a faithful  $\bar{R}$ -module where  $\bar{R} = R/\text{Ann}(V)$ , and we have  $C(R; V) = C(\bar{R}; V)$ .

It is the goal of this paper to consider the following questions which arise naturally from the above remarks.

- A. Which finite rings  $R$  have the property that  $C(R; V)$  is a ring for every  $R$ -module  $V$ ?
- B. If  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple ring when is  $C(R; V) = \text{End}_R(V)$ ?
- C. Which semisimple near-rings have the form  $C(R; V)$  for some pair  $(R, V)$ ?

Subsequently we will answer question A. We also show that if  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple ring then one always has  $C(R; V) = \text{End}_R(V)$ . Moreover if  $C(R; V)$  is semisimple then information about the structure of the simple components is obtained, giving a partial

answer to question C. Subsequently we will show that if  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple ring then  $End_R(V) = C(R; V)$ .

## 2. Semisimple Centralizer Near-ring

At first we will define semisimple centralizer near-ring. Then some characteristics or properties of semisimple centralizer near-ring will be established.

**Definition 2.1. Semisimple Centralizer Near-rings** [2] Let  $C(R; V)$  be semisimple. Then the center of  $C(R; V)$  cannot contain nonzero nilpotent elements. Hence the center of  $R$  cannot contain nilpotent elements so the center of  $R$  is a direct sum of fields. Thus if  $n$  is the characteristic of  $R$ , we have  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_s$  where  $p_i$ 's are distinct primes. But this implies that  $R = R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_s$  where  $R_i$  has characteristic  $p_i$ . Because it has characteristic  $p_i$ ,  $R_i$  is an algebra over the field  $GF(p_i)$  and so the Wedderburn principal theorem [7, p. 164] holds for  $R_i$ . Consequently  $R = \sum_{ij} S_{ij} + N$  where each  $S_{ij}$  is a simple ring and  $N$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $R$ .

**Proposition 2.1. [2].** Let  $R$  be a finite semisimple ring and let  $V$  be a finite  $R$ -module. Then  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple near-ring.

**Proof:** We have  $R = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t$ , where each  $S_i$  is a simple ring. Let  $e_i$  denote the identity of  $S_i$ . If  $V_i = \{v \in V : e_i v = v\}$  then  $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_t$ , and  $f(V_i) \subseteq V_i$  for each  $f \in C(R; V)$ . Further, if  $f_i$  denotes the restriction of  $f$  to  $V_i$  then the map  $w : C(R; V) \rightarrow C(S_1; V_1) \oplus \dots \oplus C(S_t; V_t)$  given by  $w(f) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle$  is a near-ring homomorphism. The map is onto, for if  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle$  is in  $C(S_1; V_1) \oplus \dots \oplus C(S_t; V_t)$  extend each  $f_i$  to all of  $V$  by  $\bar{f}_i(v_1 + \dots + v_t) = f_i(v_i)$ . Then  $f = \sum \bar{f}_i$  is an element of  $C(R; V)$  such that  $w(f) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle$ . To show that  $w$  is one-to-one we note that  $e_i f(v_1 + \dots + v_t) = f(e_i v_i) = f(v_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$  implies  $f(v_1 + \dots + v_t) = f(v_1) + \dots + f(v_t) = f_1(v_1) + \dots + f_t(v_t)$ . Hence if  $w(f) = 0$  then  $f = 0$ . Therefore  $w$  is an isomorphism and from Theorem 1 of [6] each  $C(S_i; V_i)$  is a simple near-ring.

**Proposition 2.2.** If  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple near-ring for every  $R$ -module  $V$  then in particular  $C(R; R)$  is semisimple. But  $C(R; R)$  is anti-isomorphic to  $R$  so  $R$  is a semisimple ring.

**Proof:** If  $R = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t$ ,  $S_i$  simple and not a field, or  $S_i$  is a field and  $\dim_{S_i}(V_i) = 1$ , we have  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple ring. Moreover, in this setting,  $C(R; V) = End_R(V)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.**  $C(R; V)$  is a semisimple ring which is not a ring.

**Proof:** We will prove this theorem with the help of an example. Let  $R = \bar{R} \oplus F$  where  $F = GF(2)$  and  $\bar{R}$  is the simple ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $GF(2)$ . Let

$V_i = \left\{ \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in F \right\}, i=1,2, \right.$  and let  $R$  act on  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$  componentwise. Then

$C(R;V) \cong C(\bar{R};V_1) \oplus C(F;V_2)$  where  $C(\bar{R};V_1)$  is a simple ring while  $C(F;V_2)$  is a simple near-ring which is not a ring. Hence  $C(R;V)$  is semisimple and not a ring.

**Theorem 2.2.** When  $C(R;V)$  is semisimple centralizer near-ring then  $End_R(V) = C(R;V)$ .

**Proof:** As we have seen  $R = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_t + N$  where each  $S_i$  is simple and  $N$  is a nilpotent ideal of  $R$ . We may assume  $N \neq (0)$ ; otherwise  $R$  is semisimple and the previous result applies.

Assume  $t = 1$ , i.e.  $R = S_1 + N$ . From the proof of Lemma 1 of [6] it follows that  $C(R;V)$  contains a function  $f$  such that  $g_1 f g_2 f = 0$  for all  $g_1, g_2 \in C(R;V)$ . Hence  $C(R;V)$  contains a nilpotent  $C(R;F)$ -subgroup and is not semisimple. So we may assume  $t > 1$ .

Let  $e_i$  denote the identity for  $S_i$ . Then  $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_t$  where  $V_i = \{v \in V : e_i v = v\}$ . Also for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, t$  let  $N_{ij} = e_i N e_j$ . Then  $N = \sum N_{ij}$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, t$  let  $B_i = \{w_i \in V_i : w_i = n_{ij} v_j \text{ for some } j \neq i, n_{ij} \in N_{ij}, v_j \in V_j\}$ , and let  $W$  denote the subgroup of  $V$  generated by  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_t$ . Finally let  $W_L = \{w \in V : f(w+v) = f(w) + f(v) \text{ for all } v \in V, f \in C(R;V)\}$ . Therefore it is clear that  $End_R(V) = C(R;V)$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** If  $R$  is not semisimple then at least one  $A_i$  must be a ring.

**Proof:** Since  $C(R;V)$  is semisimple then  $R = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k + N$  where  $N = rad R$  and each  $S_i$  is simple with identity  $e_i$ . As before let  $N_{ij} = e_i N e_j$  and let  $W$  be the  $R$ -submodule of  $V$  as in Lemma 1. If  $W = (0)$  then  $N_{ij} V_j = (0)$  for each  $i \neq j$  where  $V_j$  is the 1-space for  $e_j$ . This means each  $V_i$  is an  $R$ -module as well as  $C(R;V)$ -invariant. Hence

$$C(R;V) \cong C(R_1;V_1) \oplus \dots \oplus C(R_k;V_k)$$

where  $R_i = S_i + N_{ii}$ . Since  $C(R;V)$  is semisimple each  $C(R_i;V_i)$  is semisimple [8, p. 146]. We show now that if  $N_{ii} \neq (0)$  then  $C(R_i;V_i)$  cannot be semisimple.

Suppose  $N_{ii}^l = (0)$  but  $N_{ii}^{l-1} \neq (0)$ . Let  $W = \ker N_{ii}^{l-1} = \{v \in V_i : n v = 0 \text{ for all } n \in N_{ii}^{l-1}\}$ , a proper subgroup of  $V_i$ , an  $S_i$ -submodule, and  $C(R_i;V_i)$ -invariant. As a  $S_i$ -module  $V_i$  is completely reducible so  $V_i = W_1 \oplus W_2$ , an  $S_i$ -module direct sum. As constructed in the proof of Lemma 1 of [6] there exists a nonzero  $f \in C(R_i;V_i)$  such that  $f(V_i) \subseteq W_1$  and  $f(W_1) = \{0\}$ . Let  $I = \{f \in C(R_i;V_i) : f(V_i) \subseteq W_1 \text{ and } f(W_1) = \{0\}\}$ . Then  $I$  is a nilpotent

$C(R_i; V_i)$ -subgroup ( $I^2 = (0)$ ) and hence  $C(R_i; V_i)$  is not semisimple. So each  $N_{ii} = (0)$  and since  $N_{ij}V = (0), N_{ij} = (0)$  if  $i \neq j$ . Thus  $R$  is semisimple.

So we may assume  $W \neq (0)$ . Since  $W$  is  $C(R; V)$ -invariant the map  $f \rightarrow f/W$  is a homomorphism of  $C(R; V)$  into the ring  $End_R(W)$ . Hence a non-trivial homomorphic image of  $C(R; V)$  is a ring and this implies at least one simple component of  $C(R; V)$  is a ring [8, p. 55].

### 3. Simple Centralizer Near-ring

In this section we will discuss simple centralizer near-ring and ring with their characteristics or properties.

**Theorem 3.1.**  $C(R; V)$  is a ring when  $End_R(V) \neq C(R; V)$ .

**Proof:** We will prove this theorem with the help of an example. Let  $R$  is the ring consisting of the 3 x 3 matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix}, \quad a, b, c \in GF(2).$$

Let

$$V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in GF(2) \right\}.$$

A calculation shows that  $End_R(V) = R$ . Another calculation gives  $f(Rv) \subseteq Rv$  for each  $f \in C(R; V)$  and for each  $v \in V$ . From this it follows that  $C(R; V)$  is a ring since if  $v \in V$  then

$$f(g+h)v = f(gv+hv) = f(r_1v+r_2v) = (r_1+r_2)f(v) = (fg+fh)v.$$

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be the standard basis for the vector space  $V$  over  $GF(2)$ . Then  $V = R(e_1+e_2+e_3) \cup Re_2 \cup Re_3$  and the relation  $f(e_1+e_2+e_3) = f(e_2) = f(e_3) = e_1$  determines a function in  $C(R; V)$ . But  $f$  is not in  $End_R(V)$  since  $f(e_2+e_3) \neq f(e_2) + f(e_3)$ . Hence  $End_R(V) \neq C(R; V)$ .

**Lemma 3.1. [2].**  $W$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $V$ ,  $W_L$  is a subgroup of  $V$  and  $W \subseteq W_L$ , where  $W_L = \{w \in V : f(w+v) = f(w) + f(v) \text{ for all } v \in V, f \in C(R; V)\}$ .

**Proof:** An element of  $W$  has the form  $w = \sum n_{ij}v_j$  with  $i \neq j$ . For  $n_{kl} \in N_{kl}$  and  $n_{ij}v_j \in B_j$  we have  $n_{kl}n_{ij}v_j \in B_k$  if  $k \neq j$  and  $n_{kl}n_{ij}v_j = n_{kl}(n_{ij}v_j) \in B_k$  if  $k = j$ . In this manner it is

seen that  $NW \subseteq W$ . Also if  $s \in S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_i$  then  $sn_{ij}v_j = (sn_{ij})v_j \in B_i$  since  $sn_{ij} \in N_{ij}$ . Hence  $SW \subseteq W$  and  $W$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $V$ .

The second part of the lemma is straight forward and is not discussed. To prove the last part it suffices to show that  $B_i \subseteq W_L$  for each  $i$ . To this end let  $v_i = n_{ij}v_j \in B_i, f \in C(R; V)$ .

For  $k \neq i$  we have  $f(v_i + v_k) = f(v_i) + f(v_k)$ . For  $v'_i \in V_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_i + v'_i) &= f(n_{ij}v_j + v'_i) = f((n_{ij} + e_j)(v_j + v'_i)) \\ &= (n_{ij} + e_j)f(v_j + v'_i) = (n_{ij} + e_j)[f(v_j) + f(v'_i)] = f(v_i) + f(v'_i). \end{aligned}$$

With this it is easy to see that  $f(v_i + v) = f(v_i) + f(v)$  for all  $v \in V$ , as desired.

**Lemma 3.2.**  $C(R; V)$  would not be simple but it is a ring.

**Proof:** From Lemma 3.1, every  $f \in C(R; V)$  is linear on  $W$  and moreover  $f(W) \subseteq W$ .

Suppose now that  $C(R; V)$  is simple. Then the map  $f \rightarrow f \Big/ \Big/ W$  is an imbedding of  $C(R; V)$  into  $End_R(W)$ . Also  $W \neq (0)$ , for otherwise  $N_{ij}V_j = (0)$  for each  $i \neq j$  and so each  $V_i$  is an  $R$ -module and  $C(R; V)$ -invariant. Hence  $C(R; V)$  would not be simple. Thus  $W \neq 0$  and  $C(R; V)$  is a ring.

#### 4. Conclusion

Starting with the definition of centralizer near-ring throughout the paper we have discussed semisimple and simple centralizer near-rings with their various characteristics or properties. We have established Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.1, Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Betsch, Some structure theorems on 1-primitive near-rings, Rings, Modules and Radicals, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Vol. 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1973), pp. 73-102.
- [2] Carlton, J. Maxson and Kirby C. Smith, Centralizer Near-rings that are Endomorphism Rings, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 80 (2), (1980).
- [3] Maxson, C. J. and Smith, K. C., The centralizer of a group automorphism, *J. Algebra*, 54(1978), 27-41.
- [4] Maxson, C. J. and Smith, K. C., The centralizer of a group automorphism, *J. Algebra*, 57 (1979), 441-448.
- [5] Maxson, C. J. and Smith, K. C., The centralizer of a group automorphism, *Comm. Algebra* 8 (1980), 211-230.
- [6] Maxson, C. J. and Smith, K. C., The centralizer of a group automorphism, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 75 (1979), 8-12.
- [7] McDonald, B. R., *Finite rings with identity*, Dekker, New York, 1974.
- [8] Pilz, G., *Near-rings, The Theory and its Applications*, North-Holland Math. Studies, No. 23, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York and Oxford, 1977.