

TL-IDEALS OF TL-SUBNEAR-RINGS

J.D. Yadav.

S.G.M.College, Karad, Maharashtra, India
Email: jdy1560@yahoo.co.in

Y.S. Pawar.

Department Of Mathematics,
Shivaji University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India
Email: y_s_pawar@yahoo.com

Received 20.07.2011

Accepted 08.01.2012

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study TL-ideals of TL-subnear-rings. Also we define T-sum, T-difference and T-product of L-subsets of a near-ring R and obtain their properties.

Key words: Fuzzy set, TL-subnear-ring, TL-ideal, Homomorphism, Near-ring

1. Introduction

Near-Ring is a generalized structure of a ring. The theory of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [15]. The fuzzy set theory has been developed in many directions by the research scholars. Goguen [9] introduced the concept of L-fuzzy sets. Rosenfeld [13] first introduced the fuzzification of the algebraic structures and defined fuzzy subgroups. Anthony and Sherwood [3], Asaad and Abou-zaid [4], Akgul [2], Das [6], Dixit, Bhambri and Kumar [7] contributed the theory of fuzzy subgroups. Fuzzy ideals of rings are first defined by Liu. [11] and the study was continued by many other researchers to extend the concepts.

Abou-Zaid [1] introduced the notion of fuzzy R-subgroups and fuzzy ideals of near-rings. Dutta and Biswas [8] introduced fuzzy and fuzzy cosets of fuzzy ideals of near-rings. Cheng, Mordeson and Yandong [5] have discussed TL-subnear-rings and TL-ideals of a ring.

As in ring theory, it is interesting to fuzzify some substructures of near-ring. Hence our aim in this paper is to study TL-ideals of TL-subnear-rings and to characterize them.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some definitions for the sake of completeness.

Definition (2.1) [10]:By a near-ring we mean a non-empty set R with two binary operations '+' and '·' satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $(R, +)$ is a group,

- (ii) (R, \cdot) is a semi-group,
- (iii) $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \in R$.

Precisely speaking, it is a left near-ring because it satisfies the left distributive law. We will use the word “near-ring” instead of “left near-ring”. We denote xy instead of $x \cdot y$. Note that $x0 = 0$ and $x(-y) = -xy$, but $0x \neq 0$ for $x, y \in R$.

Definition (2.2) [1, 8]: An ideal I of a near-ring R is a subset of R such that

- (i) $(I, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$,
- (ii) $RI \subseteq I$,
- (iii) $(r + i)s - rs \in I$ for all $i \in I$ and $r, s \in R$.

Note that if I satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called a left ideal of R .

If I satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called a right ideal of R .

Definition (2.3): A binary operation T on a lattice L is called a t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $T(T(a, b), c) = T(a, T(b, c))$,
- (ii) $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$,
- (iii) $b \leq c \Rightarrow T(a, b) \leq T(a, c)$,
- (iv) $T(a, 1) = a$,

for all $a, b, c \in L$.

Definition (2.4): A fuzzy set μ in a near-ring R is a function $\mu: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Definition (2.5): Let μ be a fuzzy set in a near-ring R and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then the crisp set $\mu_t = \{x \in R \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is called a t-level subset or t-cut of μ .

3. TL-ideals of TL-subnear-ring

Let R be a near-ring and L be a complete lattice.

Definition (3.1)[14]: An L -subset μ of a near-ring R is called a TL-subgroup of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu(0) = 1$,
- (ii) $\mu(-x) \geq \mu(x)$,
- (iii) $\mu(x+y) \geq \mu(x) T \mu(y)$.

for all $x, y \in R$.

Definition (3.2)[14]: An L -subset μ of a near-ring R is called a TL-subnear-ring of R if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu(0) = 1$,
- (ii) $\mu(-x) \geq \mu(x)$,
- (iii) $\mu(x+y) \geq \mu(x) \text{ T } \mu(y)$,
- (iv) $\mu(xy) \geq \mu(x) \text{ T } \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Remarks (3.3): (i) When $T = \wedge$, a TL-subnear-ring is called L-subnear-ring.

(ii) The set of all TL- subnear-rings of R and set of all L- subnear-rings of R are denoted by $TL(R)$ and $L(R)$ respectively.

(iii) If $L = [0, 1]$, TL- subnear-ring and L- subnear-ring of R are known as T-fuzzy subnear-ring and fuzzy subnear-ring of R respectively.

Definition (3.4)[14]: An L-subset μ of a near-ring R is called a TL-ideal of R if

- (i) $\mu(0) = 1$,
- (ii) $\mu(-x) \geq \mu(x)$,
- (iii) $\mu(x+y) \geq \mu(x) \text{ T } \mu(y)$,
- (iv) $\mu(y+x-y) \geq \mu(x)$,
- (v) $\mu(xy) \geq \mu(y)$,
- (vi) $\mu((x+i)y - xy) \geq \mu(i)$ for

for all $x, y, i \in R$.

Remarks (3.5) : (i) If μ satisfies (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v) then it is TL-left ideal of R and if μ satisfies (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) then it is TL-right ideal of R.

(ii) When $T = \wedge$, a TL-left ideal and TL-right ideal are known as L-left ideal and L-right ideal respectively.

(iii) The set of all TL- left ideals and TL-right ideals of R are denoted by $TLI_l(R)$ and $TLI_r(R)$ respectively.

(iv) When $T = \wedge$, set of all L- left ideals and L-right ideals of R are denoted by $LI_l(R)$ and $LI_r(R)$ respectively.

(v) When $L = [0, 1]$ TL-left ideals and TL-right ideals are known as T-fuzzy left ideals and T-fuzzy right ideals of R respectively and when $T = \wedge$, they are known as fuzzy left ideals and fuzzy right ideals of R respectively.

Now we define TL-ideals of TL-subnear-rings:

Definition (3.6): A function $\mu: R \rightarrow L$ is called an L-subset of R.

The set of all L-subsets of R is called the L-power set of R and is denoted by L^R .

Definition (3.7): Let $\mu \in L^R$, $\gamma \in TL(R)$ and $\mu \leq \gamma$. Then

- (1) μ is a TL-left ideal of γ if
- (i) μ is a normal TL-subgroup of $(R,+)$,
 - (ii) $\mu(xy) \geq \gamma(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.
- (2) μ is a TL-right ideal of γ if
- (i) μ is a normal TL-subgroup of $(R,+)$,
 - (ii) $\mu((x+i)y -xy) \geq \mu(i) \wedge \gamma(y)$ for all $x, y, i \in R$.
- (3) μ is said to be TL-two sided or TL-ideal of γ if
- (i) μ is a normal TL-subgroup of $(R,+)$,
 - (ii) μ is both TL-left and TL-right ideal of γ .

Remark (3.8): When $T = \wedge$ these ideals will be called as L-left, L-right and L-two sided ideals of γ respectively.

Theorem (3.9): An L-subset $\mu \in L^R$ is a TL-right (resp. TL-left) ideal of R if and only if μ is a TL-right (resp. TL-left) ideal of the TL-subnear-ring 1_R .

Proof: Part (I): Let $\mu \in L^R$ be a TL-right ideal of R .

Then $\mu((x+i)y -xy) \geq \mu(i) \leq$ for all $x, y, i \in R$.

Now $\mu(i) \wedge 1_R(y) \leq \mu(i) \wedge 1_R(y) = \mu(i)$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu(i) \wedge 1_R(y) \leq \mu(i) \leq \mu((x+i)y -xy).$$

i.e. $\mu((x+i)y -xy) \geq \mu(i) \wedge 1_R(y)$ for all $x, y, i \in R$.

Hence μ is a TL-right ideal of the TL-subnear-ring 1_R .

Conversely let μ be a TL-right ideal of the TL-subnear-ring 1_R .

Therefore $\mu((x+i)y -xy) \geq \mu(i) \wedge 1_R(y)$ for all $x, y, i \in R$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu((x+i)y -xy) \geq \mu(i) \wedge 1_R(y) = \mu(i).$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu((x+i)y -xy) \geq \mu(i) \text{ for all } x, y, i \in R.$$

Hence μ is a TL-right ideal of R .

Part (II): Let $\mu \in L^R$ be a TL-left ideal of R .

Then μ is a normal TL-subgroup of $(R, +)$ and $\mu(xy) \geq \mu(y)$.

Therefore $1_R(x) \wedge \mu(y) \leq 1_R(x) \wedge \mu(y) = \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

$$\Rightarrow 1_R(x) \wedge \mu(y) \leq \mu(y) \leq \mu(xy).$$

Hence μ is a TL-left ideal of the TL-subnear-ring 1_R .

Conversely let μ be a TL-left ideal of the TL-subnear-ring 1_R .

Therefore $\mu(xy) \geq 1_R(x) \wedge \mu(y) = \mu(y)$.

Hence μ is a TL-left ideal of R . ■

Theorem (3.10): Let $\mu \in L^R$ and $\gamma \in L(R)$. If μ is L-right (resp. L-left) ideal of γ then for every $a \in L$, μ_a is a right (resp. left) ideal of γ_a .

Proof: Part (I): Let μ be L-right ideal of γ .

Then $\mu((x+i)y - xy) \geq \mu(i) \wedge \gamma(y)$.

Let $x \in \mu_a$ and $y \in \gamma_a$.

Then $x \in \mu_a$ and $y \in \gamma_a \Rightarrow \mu(x), \gamma(y) \geq a$.

Therefore $\mu(y+x-y) = \mu(x) \geq a$ for all $x \in \mu_a$ and $y \in \gamma_a$.

$$\Rightarrow y+x-y \in \mu_a \text{ for all } x \in \mu_a \text{ and } y \in \gamma_a.$$

Hence μ_a is a normal L-subgroup of $(\gamma_a, +)$.

Now let $i \in \mu_a$ and $x, y \in \gamma_a$

Then $\mu(i) \geq a$ and $\gamma(x) \geq a, \gamma(y) \geq a$.

since $\mu((x+i)y - xy) \geq \mu(i) \wedge \gamma(y) \geq a$.

Therefore $(x+i)y - xy \in \mu_a$ for all $i \in \mu_a$ and $x, y \in \gamma_a$.

Hence μ_a is a right ideal of γ_a .

Part (II): Let μ be L-left ideal of γ .

Let $x \in \mu_a$ and $y \in \gamma_a$.

Then $x \in \mu_a$ and $y \in \gamma_a \Rightarrow \mu(x), \gamma(y) \geq a$.

Then $\mu(y+x-y) \geq \mu(x) \geq a$ for all $x \in \mu_a$ and $y \in \gamma_a$.

Therefore $y+x-y \in \mu_a$ for all $x \in \mu_a$ and $y \in \gamma_a$.

Hence $(\mu_a, +)$ is a normal L-subgroup of $(\gamma_a, +)$.

Now let $y \in \mu_a$ and $x \in \gamma_a$

Since $\mu(xy) \geq \gamma(x) \wedge \mu(y)$.

Therefore $\mu(xy) \geq \gamma(x) \wedge \mu(y) \geq a$.

Thus $xy \in \mu_a$ for all $y \in \mu_a$ and $x \in \gamma_a$.

Hence μ_a is a left ideal of γ_a . ■

Remark (3.11): The converse of the theorem is true only when $\mu \in L^R$ is a normal L-subgroup of $(R, +)$.

Theorem (3.12): Let $\mu \in L^R, \gamma \in L(R)$ and L be a chain. Then a necessary condition for μ to

be a L-right (resp.L-left) ideal of γ is that for every $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$, $\mu_{[a]}$ is a right (resp. left) ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$.

Proof: Part (I): Let μ be a L-right ideal of γ . Then

(i) $\mu(0) = 1$ implies that $0 \in \mu_{[a]}$ for every $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$.

(ii) $\mu(-x) \geq \mu(x)$ for $x \in \mu_{[a]}$ implies that $-x \in \mu_{[a]}$.

(iii) Let $x, y \in \mu_{[a]}$. Then $\mu(x) > a$, $\mu(y) > a$.

But L is a chain, therefore either $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ or $\mu(y) \geq \mu(x)$.

Assume that $\mu(y) \geq \mu(x)$.

As $\mu(x+y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y) = \mu(x) > a$. Therefore $x+y \in \mu_{[a]}$ for all $x, y \in \mu_{[a]}$.

Hence $\mu_{[a]}$ is a L-subgroup of R .

(iv) If $\mu_{[a]}$ is not normal then for some $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$, there exists $y \in R$ and $x \in \mu_{[a]}$ such that $y+x-y \notin \mu_{[a]}$. Thus $\mu(x) > a$ and $\mu(y+x-y) \leq a$.

Hence $\mu(y+x-y) < \mu(x)$ and hence μ is not normal which is a contradiction.

Thus $\mu_{[a]}$ is a normal subgroup of R for all $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$.

(v) Again if $\mu_{[a]}$ is not a right ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$ then for some $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$, there exists $x, y \in R$ and $i \in \mu_{[a]}$ such that $(x+i)y - xy \notin \mu_{[a]}$.

Thus $\mu(i) > a$ and $\mu((x+i)y - xy) \leq a$.

This implies that μ is not L-right ideal.

Thus we get a contradiction.

Hence $\mu((x+i)y - xy) > a$ for all $i \in \mu_{[a]}$ and $x, y \in \gamma_{[a]}$.

Hence $\mu_{[a]}$ is a right ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$.

Part (II): Let μ be a L-left ideal of γ .

Then as in part (I), $(\mu_{[a]}, +)$ is a normal L-subgroup of $(R, +)$.

Again if $\mu_{[a]}$ is not a left ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$ then for some $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$, there exists $y \in \mu_{[a]}$ and $x \in \gamma_{[a]}$ such that $xy \notin \mu_{[a]}$.

Then $\mu(y) > a$ and $\mu(xy) \leq a$. Thus $\mu(xy) < \mu(y)$.

Therefore $xy \notin \mu_{[a]}$ for $y \in \mu_{[a]}$, $x \in \gamma_{[a]}$, which is a contradiction.

Hence $\mu_{[a]}$ is a right ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$. ■

Theorem (3.13): Let $\mu \in L^R$ and $\gamma \in L(R)$ and L be dense. Then a sufficient condition for μ to be a L-right (resp.L-left) ideal of γ is that for every $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$, $\mu_{[a]}$ is a right (resp. left) ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$.

Proof: Part (I): Let us suppose that for every $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$, $\mu_{[a]}$ is a right ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$.

(i) Clearly $\mu(0) = 1$.

(ii) Take $a < \mu(x)$. Then $x \in \mu_{[a]}$ implies $-x \in \mu_{[a]}$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu(-x) > a \Rightarrow \mu(-x) \geq 1 \text{ and } \mu(x) > a.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(-x) \geq \mu(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mu_{[a]}.$$

(iii) Now suppose $x, y \in \mu_{[a]}$

Let $\mu(x) \wedge \mu(y) > a$.

Therefore $\mu(x) > \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$.

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x) > a \Rightarrow x \in \mu_{[a]}. \text{ Similarly } y \in \mu_{[a]}.$$

Hence $x+y \in \mu_{[a]}$ and so $\mu(x+y) > a$.

Let $a = \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$.

If $a = 0$ then $\mu(x+y) \geq 0 = \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$.

If $a > 0$ then for any $b \in L, b < a$ we observe that $\mu_{[b]}$ is a right ideal of R

and $x, y \in \mu_{[b]}$, implies that $\mu(x+y) \in \mu_{[b]}$.

i.e. $\mu(x+y) > b \Rightarrow \mu(x+y) \geq \vee \{b \mid b \in L, b < a\}$.

Since L is dense, $\vee \{b \mid b \in L, b < a\} = a$.

Therefore $\mu(x+y) \geq a = \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mu_{[a]}$.

(iv) Now let $y \in \gamma_{[a]}$ and $x \in \mu_{[a]}$ and let $a > 0$.

Then for any $b \in L, b < a$ we observe that $(\mu_{[b]}, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$, $x \in \mu_{[b]}$, implies $\mu(y+x-y) > b$.

Thus $\mu(y+x-y) \geq \vee \{b \mid b \in L, b < a\}$.

Since L is dense, $\vee \{b \mid b \in L, b < a\} = a$.

Therefore $\mu(y+x-y) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in \mu_{[a]}, y \in \gamma_{[a]}$.

(v) Finally, let $i \in \mu_{[a]}$ and $x, y \in \gamma_{[a]}$.

If $a = 0$ then clearly $\mu((x+i)y-xy) \geq \mu(i)$.

If $a > 0$ then for any $b \in L, b < a$ we observe that $\mu_{[b]}$ is a right ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$ and $x \in \mu_{[b]}$, implies $\mu((x+i)y-xy) > b$.

Hence $\mu((x+i)y-xy) \geq \vee \{b \mid b \in L, b < a\}$.

Since L is dense, $\vee \{b \mid b \in L, b < a\} = a$.

Therefore $\mu((x+i)y-xy) \geq a = \mu(i) \wedge \gamma(y)$.

Hence $\mu((x+i)y-xy) \geq \mu(i) \wedge \gamma(y)$ for all $x, y, i \in R$.

Thus μ is a L-right ideal of γ .

Part (II): Let us suppose that $\mu_{[a]}$ is left ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$ for every $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$

We shall prove the last condition which conforms that μ is a L-left ideal of γ .

Let $y \in \mu_{[a]}$ and $x \in \gamma_{[a]}$. Then $\mu(y) > a$ and $\gamma(x) > a$.

If $a=0$ then clearly $\mu(xy) \geq \gamma(x) \wedge \mu(y)$.

If $a > 0$ then for any $b \in L$, $b < a$ we observe that $\mu_{[b]}$ is a left ideal of $\gamma_{[a]}$ and $x \in \mu_{[b]}$ implies $\mu(xy) > b$. Hence $\mu(xy) \geq \vee \{b \mid b \in L, b < a\}$.

Since L is dense, $\vee \{b \mid b \in L, b < a\} = a$.

Therefore $\mu(xy) \geq a = \gamma(x) \wedge \mu(y)$.

Hence $\mu(xy) \geq a = \gamma(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Thus μ to be a L-left ideal of γ . ■

Theorem (3.14): Let $\gamma \in TL(R)$ and μ be a TL-left ideal of γ .

Then $R\mu$ is a left ideal of $R\gamma$.

Proof: Let $\gamma \in TL(R)$ and μ be a TL-left ideal of γ .

$R\mu = \{x \in R \mid \mu(x) = 1\}$, similarly $R\gamma = \{x \in R \mid \gamma(x) = 1\}$.

We know that $\mu \leq \gamma$ and $a \in L \Rightarrow \mu_{[a]} \subseteq \gamma_{[a]}$. Therefore $R\mu \subseteq R\gamma$.

Now first we shall prove that $(R\mu, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$.

(i) Since $\mu(0) = 1$, $0 \in R\mu$.

Hence $R\mu$ is a non-empty subset of R .

(ii) Let $x \in R\mu$.

Then $\mu(x) = 1$ and $\mu(-x) \geq \mu(x)$ implies $\mu(-x) = 1$.

Therefore $-x \in R\mu$ for all $x \in R\mu$.

(iii) Let $x, y \in R\mu$.

Then $\mu(x+y) \geq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y) \Rightarrow \mu(x+y) \geq 1 \vee 1 = 1$.

Therefore $x+y \in R\mu$ for all $x, y \in R\mu$.

Hence $(R\mu, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$.

(iv) Let $y \in R$ and $x \in R\mu$.

Since $\mu(y+x-y) \geq \mu(x)$ and $\mu(x) = 1$, $\mu(y+x-y) = 1$.

Therefore $y+x-y \in R\mu$ for all $y \in R$ and $x \in R\mu$.

Hence $(R\mu, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$.

Similarly $(R\gamma, +)$ is also a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$.

Again since $R\mu \subseteq R\gamma$, $(R\mu, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R\gamma, +)$.

(v) Since μ is a TL-left ideal of γ , $\mu(xy) \geq \gamma(x) \vee \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Let $x \in R\mu$ and $r \in R\gamma$.

Then $\mu(x) = 1$ and $\gamma(r) = 1$.

Therefore $\mu(rx) \geq \gamma(r) \vee \mu(x) = 1$.

Hence $rx \in R\mu$ for all $r \in R\gamma$ and $x \in R\mu$.

i.e. $R\gamma R\mu \subseteq R\mu$.

Hence $R\mu$ is a left ideal of $R\gamma$. ■

Similarly we can obtain the following theorem:

Theorem (3.15): Let $\gamma \in \text{TL}(R)$ and μ be a TL-right ideal of γ .

Then $R\mu$ is a right ideal of $R\gamma$. ■

Theorem (3.16): Let $\mu \in \text{TLI}_l(R)$ and γ be a normal TL-subgroup of $(R, +)$. Then $\mu \vee \gamma$ is a TL-left ideal of γ .

Proof: Let $\mu \in \text{TLI}_l(R)$ and $\gamma \in \text{TL}(R)$.

Clearly $\mu \vee \gamma \leq \gamma$ and $\mu \vee \gamma$ is a TL-subgroup of $(R, +)$.

Again $\mu \vee \gamma(y+x-y) = \mu(y+x-y) \vee \gamma(y+x-y) \geq \mu(x) \vee \gamma(x) = \mu \vee \gamma(x)$.

Therefore $\mu \vee \gamma(y+x-y) \geq \mu \vee \gamma(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Next $\mu \vee \gamma(xy) = \mu(xy) \vee \gamma(xy) \geq \mu(y) \vee \gamma(x) \vee \gamma(y) = \gamma(x) \vee (\mu \vee \gamma)(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Hence $\mu \vee \gamma$ is a TL-left ideal of γ . ■

Similarly we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem (3.17): Let $\mu \in \text{TLI}_r(R)$ and γ be a normal TL-subgroup of $(R, +)$. Then $\mu \vee \gamma$ is a TL-left ideal of γ . ■

Theorem (3.18): Let $\xi \in \text{TL}(R)$ and μ, γ be two TL-left ideals of ξ . Then $\mu \wedge \gamma$ is a TL-left ideal of ξ .

Proof: Let $\xi \in \text{TL}(R)$ and μ, γ be two TL-left ideals of ξ .

Since μ and γ are TL-left ideals of ξ , $\mu \leq \xi, \gamma \leq \xi$ and so $\mu \wedge \gamma \leq \xi$.

Hence $\mu \wedge \gamma$ is a TL-subgroup of $(R, +)$.

Again $(\mu \wedge \gamma)(y+x-y) = \mu(y+x-y) \wedge \gamma(y+x-y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \gamma(x) = (\mu \wedge \gamma)(x)$, $x \in R$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \wedge \gamma)(xy) &= \mu(xy) \wedge \gamma(xy) \geq (\xi(x) \top \mu(y)) \wedge (\xi(x) \top \gamma(y)). \\ &= \xi(x) \top (\mu(y) \wedge \gamma(y)) = \xi(x) \top (\mu \wedge \gamma)(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu \wedge \gamma$ is a TL-left ideal of ξ . ■

Similarly we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem (3.19): Let $\xi \in \text{TL}(R)$ and μ, γ be two TL-right ideals of ξ . Then $\mu \wedge \gamma$ is a TL-right ideal of ξ . ■

4. Homomorphism

The following definitions are well-known:

Definition (4.1): Let R and R' be two near-rings. A function $f: R \rightarrow R'$ is called a homomorphism if for all $x, y \in R$

- (i) $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$,
- (ii) $f(xy) = f(x) f(y)$.

We know that a one-one homomorphism is an isomorphism.

Definition (4.2) Extension Principle:

Let X and Y be two non-empty sets and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f induces two functions,

$f: \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ and $f^{-1}: \mathcal{F}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ which are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) [f(\mu)](y) &= \sup_{x|y=f(x)} \{ \mu(x) \}; \text{ if } y=f(x), \\ &= 0; \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

$$2) [f^{-1}(\gamma)](x) = \gamma(f(x)); \text{ for all } \gamma \in \mathcal{F}(Y).$$

In the following theorem we prove that the homomorphic image of TL-left (resp.right) ideal of R is a TL-left (resp.right) ideal of S :

Theorem (4.3): Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of a near-ring R onto a near-ring S and $\mu \in \text{TLI}_r(R)$ (resp. $\mu \in \text{TLI}_l(R)$). Then $f(\mu) \in \text{TLI}_r(S)$ (resp. $\mu \in \text{TLI}_l(S)$).

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of a near-ring R onto a near-ring S .

Let $x, y \in S$.

Part (I): Let $\mu \in \text{TLI}_r(R)$.

(i) Clearly $f(\mu)(0') = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } f(\mu)(-x) &= \vee \{ \mu(w) | w \in R, f(w) = -x \}. \\ &= \vee \{ \mu(-w) | -w \in R, f(-w) = x \}. \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu(w) | w \in R, f(w) = x \}. \\ &= f(\mu)(x) \text{ for all } x \in S. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } f(\mu)(x-y) &= \vee \{ \mu(w) | w \in R, f(w) = x-y \} \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu(u-v) | u, v \in R, f(u) = x, f(v) = y \} \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu(u) \text{ T } \mu(v) | u, v \in R, f(u) = x, f(v) = y \} \\ &\geq (\vee \{ \mu(u) | u \in R, f(u) = x \}) \text{ T } (\vee \{ \mu(v) | v \in R, f(v) = y \}) \\ &= f(\mu)(x) \text{ T } f(\mu)(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in S. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii) } f(\mu)(y+x-y) &= \vee \{ \mu(w) | w \in R, f(w) = y+x-y \} \\ &= \vee \{ \mu(v+u-v) | u, v \in R, f(u) = x, f(v) = y \}. \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu(u) | u \in R, f(u) = x \}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f(\mu)(y+x-y) \geq \vee \{ \mu(u) | u \in R, f(u) = x \}$.

i.e. $f(\mu)(y+x-y) \geq f(\mu)(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Hence $f(\mu)(y+x-y) \geq f(\mu)(x)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(v) } f(\mu)((x+i)y-xy) &= \vee \{ \mu(w) | w \in R, f(w) = (x+i)y-xy \}. \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu((u+t)v-uv) | u, v, t \in R, f(u) = x, f(v) = y, f(t) = i \}. \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu(t) | t \in R, f(t) = i \}. \\ &= f(\mu)(i) \text{ for all } x, y, i \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(\mu) \in \text{TLI}_r(S)$. \geq

Part (II): Let $\mu \in \text{TLI}_l(R)$. Then clearly

$$\text{(vi) } f(\mu)(xy) \geq f(\mu)(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in S.$$

Hence from (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) $f(\mu) \in \text{TLI}_l(S)$. ■

In the following theorem we discuss about the inverse images of TL-right/left ideals of R:

Theorem (4.4): Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of a near-ring R into a near-ring S and $\gamma \in \text{TLI}_r(S)$ (resp. $\gamma \in \text{TLI}_l(S)$). Then $f^{-1}(\gamma) \in \text{TLI}_r(R)$ (resp. $f^{-1}(\gamma) \in \text{TLI}_l(R)$).

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of a near-ring R onto a near-ring S .

Part (I): Let $\gamma \in \text{TLI}_r(S)$.

- (i) $f^{-1}(\gamma)(0) = \gamma(f(0)) = \gamma(0') = 1$.
- (ii) $f^{-1}(\gamma)(-x) = \gamma(f(-x)) = \gamma(-f(x)) \geq \gamma(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\gamma)(x)$ for all $x \in R$.
- (iii) $f^{-1}(\gamma)(x+y) \geq (f^{-1}(\gamma)(x))T(f^{-1}(\gamma)(y))$ for all $x, y \in R$.
- (iv) $f^{-1}(\gamma)(y-x+y) = \gamma(f(y+x-y)) \geq \gamma(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\gamma)(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$.
- (v) $f^{-1}(\gamma)((x+i)y-xy) = \gamma(f((x+i)y-xy)) \geq f^{-1}(\gamma)(i)$ for all $x, y, i \in R$.

Hence $f^{-1}(\gamma) \in \text{TLI}_r(R)$.

Part (II) : Let $\gamma \in \text{TLI}_1(S)$.

- (vi) $f^{-1}(\gamma)(xy) = \gamma(f(xy)) = \gamma(f(x)f(y)) \geq \gamma(f(y)) = f^{-1}(\gamma)(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Hence from (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi) $f^{-1}(\gamma) \in \text{TLI}_1(R)$. ■

For $\mu \in L^R$ and $\gamma \in \text{TL}(R)$ then $f(\mu)$ is a TL-left ideal of $f(\gamma)$ under the following conditions:

Theorem (4.5): Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of a near-ring R onto a near-ring S . Let $\gamma \in \text{TL}(R)$ and μ a TL-left ideal of γ . Then $f(\mu)$ is a TL-left ideal of $f(\gamma)$.

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of a near-ring R onto a near-ring S .

Since $\mu \leq \gamma$, $f(\mu) \leq f(\gamma)$.

Part (I): Let μ be a TL- right ideal of γ .

Also both $f(\mu)$ and $f(\gamma)$ are TL-subgroups of $(R,+)$.

Let $x, y \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mu)(y+x-y) &= \vee \{ \mu(w) | w \in R, f(w) = y+x-y \}. \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu(v+u-v) | u, v \in R, f(u) = x, f(v) = y \}. \\ &= \vee \{ \mu(u) | u \in R, f(u) = x \}. \\ &= f(\mu)(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mu)((x+i)y-xy) &= \vee \{ \mu(w) | w \in R, f(w) = (x+i)y-xy \} \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu((u+t)v-uv) | u, v, t \in R, f((u+t)v-uv) = (x+i)y-xy \} \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu(t)T\gamma(v) | t, y \in R, f(t) = i, f(y) = v \} \\ &= (\vee \{ \mu(t) | t \in R, f(t) = i \})T(\vee \{ \gamma(v) | y \in R, f(y) = v \}). \\ &= f(\mu)(i) T f(\gamma)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(\mu)((x+i)y - xy) \geq f(\mu)(i) \text{ T } f(\gamma)(y)$, for all $x, y, i \in R$.

Hence $f(\mu)$ is a TL-right ideal of $f(\gamma)$. ■

Part (II): Let μ be a TL- left ideal of γ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mu)(xy) &= \vee \{ \mu(w) | w \in R, f(w) = xy \}. \\ &\geq \vee \{ \mu(uv) | u, v \in R, f(u) = x, f(v) = y \}. \\ &\geq \vee \{ \gamma(u) \text{ T } \mu(v) | u, v \in R, f(u) = x, f(v) = y \}. \\ &= (\vee \{ \gamma(u) | u \in R, f(u) = x \}) \text{ T } (\vee \{ \mu(v) | v \in R, f(v) = y \}). \\ &= f(\gamma)(x) \text{ T } f(\mu)(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(\mu)$ is a TL-left ideal of $f(\gamma)$. ■

For $\mu \in L^R$ and $\gamma \in TL(R)$ then $f(\mu)$ is a TL-left ideal of $f(\gamma)$, this we prove in the following theorem:

Theorem (4.6): Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of a near-rings and $\gamma \in TL(S)$, μ be TL-right (resp. left) ideal of γ . Then $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a TL-right (resp. left) ideal of $f^{-1}(\gamma)$.

Proof: Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of a near-rings.

Clearly $\mu \leq \gamma \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\mu) \leq f^{-1}(\gamma)$.

As proved earlier $f^{-1}(\mu)$ and $f^{-1}(\gamma)$ are TL-subgroups of R and $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq f^{-1}(\gamma)$.

Part (I): Let μ be a TL- right ideal of γ and $x, y \in R$. Then

- (i) $f^{-1}(\mu)(0) = \mu(f(0)) = \gamma(0) = 1$.
- (ii) $f^{-1}(\mu)(-x) = \mu(f(-x)) = \mu(-f(x)) \geq \mu(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\mu)(x)$ for all $x \in R$.
- (iii) $f^{-1}(\mu)(x-y) = \mu(f(x-y)) \geq \mu(f(x)) \text{ T } \mu(f(y)) = f^{-1}(\mu)(x) \text{ T } f^{-1}(\mu)(y)$.
i.e. $f^{-1}(\mu)(x-y) \geq f^{-1}(\mu)(x) \text{ T } f^{-1}(\mu)(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.
- (iv) $f^{-1}(\mu)(y+x-y) = \mu(f(y+x-y)) = \mu(f(y)+f(x)-f(y)) \geq \mu(f(x)) = f^{-1}(\mu)(x)$.
i.e. $f^{-1}(\mu)(y+x-y) \geq f^{-1}(\mu)(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$.
- (v) $f^{-1}(\mu)((x+i)y-xy) = \mu(f((x+i)y-xy))$.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu((f(x) + (f(i))(f(y)) - (f(x))(f(y))). \\ &\geq \mu(f(i)). \\ &= f^{-1}(\mu)(i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f^{-1}(\mu)((x+i)y-xy) \geq \mu(f(i)) = f^{-1}(\mu)(i)$ for all $x, y, i \in R$.

Hence $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a right ideal of $f^{-1}(\gamma)$.

Part (II): Let μ be a TL- left ideal of γ . Then

$$(vi) f^{-1}(\mu)(xy) = \mu(f(xy)) = \mu(f(x)f(y)) \geq \gamma(f(x))T\mu(f(y)) = (f^{-1}(\gamma)(x))T(f^{-1}(\mu)(y)).$$

i.e. $f^{-1}(\mu)(xy) \geq (f^{-1}(\gamma)(x))T(f^{-1}(\mu)(y))$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Hence from (i), (ii) (iii) (iv) and (vi) $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a TL-left ideal of $f^{-1}(\gamma)$. ■

Theorem (4.7): If an L-subset μ^* of R/μ is defined by $\mu^*(x+\mu) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in R$ then $\mu^* \in TLI(R)$.

Proof: Now let us define a function $f: R/\mu \rightarrow R/R\mu$ by

$$f(x+\mu) = x + R\mu \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

We shall prove that f is an onto isomorphism.

$$(1) f((x+\mu)+_T(y+\mu)) = f((x+y)+\mu) = (x+y) + R\mu = (x+R\mu)+(y+R\mu) \\ = f(x+\mu) + f(y+\mu).$$

$$(2) f((x+\mu)*_*(y+\mu)) = f(xy+\mu) = xy + R\mu = (x+R\mu)*_*(y+R\mu). \\ = f(x+\mu)*_*(y+\mu).$$

$$(3) \text{ Let } f(x+\mu) = f(y+\mu) \text{ where } x, y \in R.$$

$$\text{Then } f(x+\mu) = f(y+\mu) \Rightarrow x + R\mu = y + R\mu \Rightarrow x-y \in R\mu \Rightarrow \mu(x-y) = \mu(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x) = \mu(y) \Rightarrow x = y \Rightarrow x+\mu = y+\mu.$$

Hence f is an isomorphism.

$$(4) \text{ We observe that for all } x + R\mu \in R/R\mu, x \in R \text{ there exists } x + \mu \in R/\mu \text{ such that } f(x + \mu) = x + R\mu \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

Therefore f is an onto isomorphism.

(5) An L-subset μ^* of R/μ is defined by

$$\mu^*(x+\mu) = \mu(x) \text{ for all } x \in R. \text{ Then}$$

$$(i) \mu^*(\mu) = \mu^*(0+\mu) = \mu(0) = 1.$$

$$(ii) \mu^*(-x+\mu) = \mu(-x) \geq \mu(x) = \mu^*(x+\mu) \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

$$(iii) \mu^*((x+\mu)+_T(y+\mu)) = \mu^*((x+y)+\mu) = \mu(x+y).$$

$$\geq \mu(x)T\mu(y) = \mu^*(x+\mu)T\mu^*(y+\mu) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

$$(iv) \mu^*((y+\mu)+_T(x+\mu)+_T(-y+\mu)) = \mu^*((y+x)+\mu)+_T(-y+\mu).$$

$$= \mu^*((y+x)+\mu)+_T(-y+\mu).$$

$$= \mu^*((y+x-y)+\mu).$$

$$= \mu(y+x-y)$$

$$\geq \mu(x).$$

$$= \mu^*(x + \mu) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

$$(iv) \mu^*((x + \mu)*(y + \mu)) = \mu^*(xy + \mu) = \mu(xy) \geq \mu(y) = \mu^*(y + \mu) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (v) \mu^*(((x + \mu) +_{\tau} (a + \mu)) * (y + \mu) - (x + \mu) * (y + \mu)) \\ &= \mu^*[(x + a) * (y + \mu) - (x + \mu) * (y + \mu)]. \\ &= \mu^*[(x + a)y + \mu - (xy + \mu)]. \\ &= \mu^*[((x + a)y - xy) + \mu]. \\ &= \mu((x + a)y - xy). \\ &\geq \mu(a). \\ &= \mu^*(a + \mu) \text{ for all } x, y, a \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore μ^* is a TL-left as well TL-right ideal of R. ■

References

- [1] S. Abou-Zaid, On Fuzzy Subnear-rings and Ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 44 (1991), 139-146.
- [2] M. Akgul, Properties of Fuzzy Groups, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 133(1988), 93-100.
- [3] J.M. Anthoy and H. Sherwood, Fuzzy Groups Redefined, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 69(1979), 124-130.
- [4] M. Asaad and S. Abou-Zaid, A Contribution to the Theory of Fuzzy Subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 77(1996), 355-369.
- [5] S.C. Cheng, John N. Mordeson and Yu Yandong, Lecture Notes in Fuzzy Mathematics and Computer Science, Elements of L-Algebra, Centre for Research in Fuzzy Mathematics and Computer Science, Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska 68178, USA, 1994.
- [6] P.S. Das, Fuzzy Groups and Level Subgroups, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 84(1981), 264-269.
- [7] V.N. Dixit, S.K. Bhambri and Pratibha Kumar, Level Subgroups and Union of Fuzzy Subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 37(1990), 359-371.
- [8] T. K. Dutta and B. K. Biswas, Fuzzy Ideals of Near-Ring, Bull. Cal. Math Soc. 89 (1997), 447-456.
- [9] J.A. Goguen, L-Fuzzy Sets, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 18 (1967), 145-174.
- [10] Pilz Gunter, Near-Rings, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [11] W. Liu, Fuzzy Invariant Subgroups and Fuzzy Ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 8(1982), 133-139.
- [12] J.D.P. Meldrum, Near-Rings and their Links with Groups, Pitman, London.
- [13] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, Journal Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 35 (1971), 512-517.
- [14] J.D. Yadav and Y.S. Pawar, TL-ideals of Near-rings, communicated.
- [15] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform. and Control 8(1965), 338-353.